## SITE ASSESSMENT SURVEY

. Phone:
•

- This survey is designed to help you examine and record key aspects of one of the possible sites registered by SODC for development in Sonning Common.
- The evidence and understanding provided by your work will enable appropriate choices to be made about potential development sites and about the form and nature of any development that might then take place.
- Please note that no sites have yet been formally allocated for development; the majority were put forward to SODC by landowners. Allocation is further down the line after further consideration and public consultation.
- Also, development is not all or nothing. Only part of a site might turn out to be appropriate – that is one thing this process will reveal. If you believe only part of a site is suitable for development, please say so.

### We are giving you:

- This introduction and survey form, an envelope for returning the survey(s) if necessary.
- A map of all the sites registered by SODC for development within Sonning Common.
- A map of the specific site(s) you are surveying.
- Site access information.

#### Getting the survey done:

- Using a clipboard will make completion easier.
- You do not necessarily have to get access onto the site to do this survey.
- Even if you (think you) know the site well, walk up and down, look all around.
- It might be helpful to you to take some photos of the site from outside looking in.
- Only if you can (physically, safely ..... legally) get onto the site, also take a few photos from the site looking <u>out</u>.
- Mark on the map wherever you take any photos from, and in what direction (use a dot and a little arrow).
- For most (not all) of the survey form just add notes in boxes in response to the questions.
- Don't get too hung up on our terms and feel free to add 'not sure' if that helps.
- It would help the subsequent collation and analysis if you could complete your survey electronically; we will email you a blank form.

#### When you have finished:

- Ensure you have completed the required detail at the top of this page.
- Email your completed survey to <a href="mailto:ndp@sonningcommonparishcouncil.org.uk">ndp@sonningcommonparishcouncil.org.uk</a> or
- Put your completed survey in the envelope provided through the Parish Council letterbox at the front of the Village Hall. On the outside of the envelope write: Completed survey and your name and the date.

#### THANK YOU FOR YOUR SUPPORT

# **PART 1: Site Character and Features**

In some boxes we offer hints – use, ignore or add to as you wish.

B. What important views of the site are there from outside?  C. What important views of the village or landscape are there from inside?
C. What important views of the village or landscape are there from inside?
C. What important views of the village or landscape are there from inside?
C. What important views of the village or landscape are there from inside?
C. What important views of the village or landscape are there from inside?
c. what important views of the village of landscape are there from inside:
D. Describe important site features
The boundary: Materials
Level of Enclosure And
Any buildings etc.: Use, Age, Quality,
Condition And

The landscape and		
natural features		
And		
E. What are the characte	er, uses and features of the surrounding are	ea?
PART 2: Sustainability	ot the Site	
-	/ Ot the Site estion below, place an X in the box that y	ou think most applies
-		ou think most applies
For each sustainability quate to the site.	estion below, place an X in the box that y	
For each sustainability quate to the site.  A: Views and Setting. Hove	estion below, place an X in the box that y important do you think the site is in terms	
For each sustainability quate to the site.	estion below, place an X in the box that y important do you think the site is in terms	
For each sustainability quate to the site.  A: Views and Setting. Hove	estion below, place an X in the box that y important do you think the site is in terms	
For each sustainability quanto the site.  A: Views and Setting. How Common and the landsca	estion below, place an X in the box that y important do you think the site is in terms	
For each sustainability quanto the site.  A: Views and Setting. How Common and the landsca	estion below, place an X in the box that y important do you think the site is in terms ape?	
For each sustainability quanto the site.  A: Views and Setting. How Common and the lands of Low Importance:  Likely to be able to accomparating views and the site in Medium Importance:	estion below, place an X in the box that y important do you think the site is in terms ape?  commodate development without etting.	
For each sustainability quanto the site.  A: Views and Setting. How Common and the landscart.  Low Importance: Likely to be able to accomparate views and the site.  Medium Importance: Some important views be	estion below, place an X in the box that y important do you think the site is in terms ape?  commodate development without etting.  ut these could be maintained with a	
For each sustainability quanto the site.  A: Views and Setting. How Common and the lands of Low Importance:  Likely to be able to accomparating views and the site of Medium Importance:  Some important views be well-designed development to the site of the sit	estion below, place an X in the box that y important do you think the site is in terms ape?  commodate development without etting.  ut these could be maintained with a ment.	
For each sustainability quanto the site.  A: Views and Setting. How Common and the lands of Low Importance: Likely to be able to acceptarming views and the site Medium Importance: Some important views be well-designed developmed to the signed developmed to the site.	estion below, place an X in the box that y important do you think the site is in terms ape?  commodate development without etting.  ut these could be maintained with a ment.  nsitive:	
For each sustainability quanto the site.  A: Views and Setting. How Common and the landscart.  Low Importance: Likely to be able to accumulate the site of the sit	estion below, place an X in the box that y important do you think the site is in terms ape?  commodate development without etting.  ut these could be maintained with a ment.  nsitive:	
For each sustainability quanto the site.  A: Views and Setting. How Common and the lands of Low Importance: Likely to be able to accommand the site of	estion below, place an X in the box that y important do you think the site is in terms ape?  commodate development without etting.  ut these could be maintained with a ment.  nsitive:	
For each sustainability quanto the site.  A: Views and Setting. How Common and the landscart.  Low Importance: Likely to be able to accumulate to a	estion below, place an X in the box that y important do you think the site is in terms ape?  commodate development without etting.  ut these could be maintained with a ment.  nsitive:	
For each sustainability quanto the site.  A: Views and Setting. How Common and the lands of Low Importance: Likely to be able to accommand the site of	estion below, place an X in the box that y important do you think the site is in terms ape?  commodate development without etting.  ut these could be maintained with a ment.  nsitive:	
For each sustainability quanto the site.  A: Views and Setting. How Common and the lands of Low Importance: Likely to be able to accommand the site of	estion below, place an X in the box that y important do you think the site is in terms ape?  commodate development without etting.  ut these could be maintained with a ment.  nsitive:	
For each sustainability quanto the site.  A: Views and Setting. How Common and the lands of Low Importance: Likely to be able to accommand the site of	estion below, place an X in the box that y important do you think the site is in terms ape?  commodate development without etting.  ut these could be maintained with a ment.  nsitive:	
For each sustainability quanto the site.  A: Views and Setting. How Common and the lands of Low Importance: Likely to be able to accommand the site of	estion below, place an X in the box that y important do you think the site is in terms ape?  commodate development without etting.  ut these could be maintained with a ment.  nsitive:	
For each sustainability quanto the site.  A: Views and Setting. How Common and the lands of Low Importance: Likely to be able to accommand the site of	estion below, place an X in the box that y important do you think the site is in terms ape?  commodate development without etting.  ut these could be maintained with a ment.  nsitive:	
For each sustainability quanto the site.  A: Views and Setting. How Common and the lands of Low Importance: Likely to be able to accommand the site of	estion below, place an X in the box that y important do you think the site is in terms ape?  commodate development without etting.  ut these could be maintained with a ment.  nsitive:	
For each sustainability quanto the site.  A: Views and Setting. How Common and the lands of Low Importance: Likely to be able to accommand the site of	estion below, place an X in the box that y important do you think the site is in terms ape?  commodate development without etting.  ut these could be maintained with a ment.  nsitive:	
For each sustainability quanto the site.  A: Views and Setting. How Common and the lands of Low Importance: Likely to be able to accommand the site of	estion below, place an X in the box that y important do you think the site is in terms ape?  commodate development without etting.  ut these could be maintained with a ment.  nsitive:	
For each sustainability quanto the site.  A: Views and Setting. How Common and the lands of Low Importance: Likely to be able to accommand the site of	estion below, place an X in the box that y important do you think the site is in terms ape?  commodate development without etting.  ut these could be maintained with a ment.  nsitive:	
For each sustainability quanto the site.  A: Views and Setting. How Common and the lands of Low Importance: Likely to be able to accommand the site of	estion below, place an X in the box that y important do you think the site is in terms ape?  commodate development without etting.  ut these could be maintained with a ment.  nsitive:	

# B: Green Space and Wildlife. From what you know and have recorded, how well do you think development on this site could conserve or enhance important existing natural features and wildlife?

Very Well: There are few existing important natural features or wildlife. There is potential to create new green envir	_
Potential for Conservation: Any important features could be conserved or replowell-designed scheme (you can list the features in the section below).	
Too Sensitive for Development: There are too many, too valuable features to accordevelopment without causing major harm to local of	
Notes?	
C: Neighbouring Character and Uses. How well do y	ou think development on this site
could fit into the neighbouring character?	
Development could improve character by removing eyesores or creating improved character.	
Development could improve character by removing eyesores or creating improved	
Development could improve character by removing eyesores or creating improved character.  Well designed development could fit with	

# D: Walking and Cycling. How well connected is the site to the village centre?

Good. Safe unhindered access to a wide	
range of facilities within about 400 metres.	
9	
<b>Reasonable.</b> Safe, unhindered access to basic	
facilities within about 800 metres.	
raciines willing about our menes.	
<b>Poor.</b> Distance, connection and safety issues to	
limited facilities.	
iii iiii eu Tuciiii les.	
<b>Very Poor.</b> Relatively isolated, poor connection	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
and safety issues.	
Notes?	
NOIE25	
E. Dublic Transport How close is the site to a bus sta	an?
E: Public Transport. How close is the site to a bus sto	op?
E: Public Transport. How close is the site to a bus sto	op?
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 r	
<b>Good.</b> Walking distance to public transport (400 r accessible pathway.	metres) on
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 r	metres) on
<b>Good.</b> Walking distance to public transport (400 r accessible pathway.	metres) on
<b>Good.</b> Walking distance to public transport (400 r accessible pathway.	metres) on
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 raccessible pathway.  Fair. Walking distance but accessibility and/or sat	metres) on fety Issues.
<b>Good.</b> Walking distance to public transport (400 r accessible pathway.	metres) on fety Issues.
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 raccessible pathway.  Fair. Walking distance but accessibility and/or sat	metres) on fety Issues.
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 raccessible pathway.  Fair. Walking distance but accessibility and/or sat	metres) on fety Issues.
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 raccessible pathway.  Fair. Walking distance but accessibility and/or sate  Poor. Distance and safe accessibility discourage	metres) on fety Issues.
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 raccessible pathway.  Fair. Walking distance but accessibility and/or sat	metres) on fety Issues.
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 raccessible pathway.  Fair. Walking distance but accessibility and/or sate  Poor. Distance and safe accessibility discourage	metres) on fety Issues.
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 raccessible pathway.  Fair. Walking distance but accessibility and/or sate  Poor. Distance and safe accessibility discourage	metres) on fety Issues.
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 raccessible pathway.  Fair. Walking distance but accessibility and/or sate  Poor. Distance and safe accessibility discourage	metres) on fety Issues.
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 raccessible pathway.  Fair. Walking distance but accessibility and/or sate  Poor. Distance and safe accessibility discourage	metres) on fety Issues.
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 raccessible pathway.  Fair. Walking distance but accessibility and/or sate  Poor. Distance and safe accessibility discourage	metres) on fety Issues.
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 raccessible pathway.  Fair. Walking distance but accessibility and/or sate  Poor. Distance and safe accessibility discourage	metres) on fety Issues.
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 raccessible pathway.  Fair. Walking distance but accessibility and/or sate  Poor. Distance and safe accessibility discourage	metres) on fety Issues.
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 raccessible pathway.  Fair. Walking distance but accessibility and/or sate  Poor. Distance and safe accessibility discourage	metres) on fety Issues.
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 raccessible pathway.  Fair. Walking distance but accessibility and/or sate  Poor. Distance and safe accessibility discourage	metres) on fety Issues.
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 raccessible pathway.  Fair. Walking distance but accessibility and/or sate  Poor. Distance and safe accessibility discourage	metres) on fety Issues.
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 raccessible pathway.  Fair. Walking distance but accessibility and/or sate  Poor. Distance and safe accessibility discourage	metres) on fety Issues.
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 raccessible pathway.  Fair. Walking distance but accessibility and/or sate  Poor. Distance and safe accessibility discourage	metres) on fety Issues.
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 raccessible pathway.  Fair. Walking distance but accessibility and/or sate  Poor. Distance and safe accessibility discourage	metres) on fety Issues.
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 raccessible pathway.  Fair. Walking distance but accessibility and/or sate  Poor. Distance and safe accessibility discourage	metres) on fety Issues.
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 raccessible pathway.  Fair. Walking distance but accessibility and/or sate  Poor. Distance and safe accessibility discourage	metres) on fety Issues.
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 raccessible pathway.  Fair. Walking distance but accessibility and/or sate  Poor. Distance and safe accessibility discourage	metres) on fety Issues.
Good. Walking distance to public transport (400 raccessible pathway.  Fair. Walking distance but accessibility and/or sate  Poor. Distance and safe accessibility discourage	metres) on fety Issues.

# **PART 3: Your Priority Issues and Suggestions**

# A: Key Features From ALL your observations, what do you think are most important issues and features of this site? **B: Key Priorities** If you think this site could be considered for development, how much of it could be? What are the main problems that any development would need to solve?